Fully differential VBF Higgs production at NNLO

Giulia Zanderighi (CERN, University of Oxford & ERC)

Based on 1506.02660, in collaboration with
Matteo Cacciari, Frédéric Dreyer, Alexander Karlberg, Gavin Salam

UCLA Radcor-Loopfest 2015

VBF Higgs production

Five good reasons to study VBF Higgs production:

1. VBF is the largest cross-section that involves tree-level production, and the second of all production processes (after gluon-gluon-fusion)



VBF Higgs production

Five good reasons to study VBF Higgs production:

2. It has a distinctive signature that involves two forward jets (tagging jets)



VBF Higgs production

CMS JHEP05(2014)104



Five good reasons to study VBF Higgs production:

3. Tagging jets allow one to better tag events and identify Higgs decays that have very large backgrounds (notably H → $\tau\tau$ and H → bb)

VBF Higgs production

Five good reasons to study VBF Higgs production:

4. Higgs transverse momentum is non-zero at LO. Facilitates searches of invisible decay modes



VBF Higgs production

Plehn et al ’01



Five good reasons to study VBF Higgs production:

5. Angular correlation of forward jets brings in sensitivity to CP properties of the Higgs and to non-SM Higgs interactions (small CP odd component is still allowed)

VBF Higgs production

Fully inclusive VBF Higgs production was known at NNLO in the structure function approach

Bolzoni et al ’10 - ’11



$\sqrt{S} = 7$ TeV			
Higgs mass	LO	NLO	NNLO
120	$1.235^{+0.131}_{-0.116}$	$1.320^{+0.054}_{-0.022}$	$1.324^{+0.025}_{-0.024}$
160	$0.857^{+0.121}_{-0.099}$	$0.915^{+0.046}_{-0.016}$	$0.918^{+0.019}_{-0.015}$
200	$0.614^{+0.106}_{-0.082}$	$0.655^{+0.038}_{-0.012}$	$0.658^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$
300	$0.295^{+0.070}_{-0.049}$	$0.314^{+0.022}_{-0.010}$	$0.316^{+0.008}_{-0.004}$
400	$0.156^{+0.045}_{-0.030}$	$0.166^{+0.013}_{-0.007}$	$0.167^{+0.005}_{-0.001}$

The calculation suggests tiny renormalization/factorization scale uncertainties (~ 1-2%). NNLO well within the NLO band

VBF Higgs production

However, no realistic VBF cuts can be applied to it, as the calculation is totally inclusive over hadronic final states that give the same vector-boson momenta

Differential VBF Higgs production known up to now only to NLO (+PS) and also suggests small uncertainties

Figy, Oleari, Zeppenfeld '03



The structure function approach

Schematically, think of VBF as DIS × DIS with no cross-talk between radiation from the upper and lower sector (factorized approximation). Since the DIS coefficients used are inclusive over the hadronic final state, the calculation cannot provide differential results



Simple kinematics

Key observation:

If the scattering is Born like, then the vector boson-momenta q_i, and on-shell conditions, fix the incoming and outgoing parton momenta:

$$p_{\mathrm{in,i}} = x_i P_i \qquad p_{\mathrm{out,i}} = x_i P_i - q_i \qquad x_i = \frac{q_i^2}{2 q_i P_i}$$



Going fully differential

This work: going beyond structure function approach.
Based on two ingredients

1. <u>the inclusive contribution</u>

   - use the SF approach and use four-vectors q_1,q_2 to assign Born-like (i.e. $2 \to H + 2$) kinematics using the previous eqs.
   - use the projected Born-like momenta to compute differential distributions



Going fully differential

This work: going beyond structure function approach.
Based on two ingredients

2. the exclusive contribution

- use the VBF H + 3 jet NLO calculation in the factorized approximation

Figy et al ’07 [NLO]; Jaeger et al ’14 [NLO+PS]

- keep track, for each parton, whether it belongs to upper/lower sector; this makes it possible to deduce vector-boson momenta, q_1,q_2

- for each event (weight w), add a counter-event with projected Born kinematics (weight -w) deduced from q_1, q_2



Going fully differential

Schematically:

P2B = Projection to Born

$$\sigma = \int d\Phi_B(B+V) + \int d\Phi_R R$$

$$= \underbrace{\int d\Phi_B(B+V) + \int d\Phi_R R_{P2B}}_{\text{From inclusive contribution}} + \underbrace{\int d\Phi_R R - \int d\Phi_R R_{P2B}}_{\text{Finite, from exclusive contribution}}$$

Combining the two pieces:

- from the exclusive contributions we get the full contributions from double-real and one-loop single-real
- after integration over phase-space, counter-events cancel projected tree-level double real and one-loop single real contributions from the inclusive

The sum gives thus the complete, fully differential NNLO result

Going fully differential

Schematically:

P2B = Projection to Born

$$\sigma = \int d\Phi_B (B+V) + \int d\Phi_R R$$

$$= \underbrace{\int d\Phi_B (B+V) + \int d\Phi_R R_{P2B}}_{\text{From inclusive contribution}} + \underbrace{\int d\Phi_R R - \int d\Phi_R R_{P2B}}_{\text{Finite, from exclusive contribution}}$$





The sum gives thus the complete, fully differential NNLO result

Practicalities

For the inclusive part we have

- taken the phase-space from `POWHEG`'s `VBF_H`
- matrix elements coded with structure functions evaluated using parametrized versions of the DIS coefficient functions
- the structure functions evaluated with the package `HOPPET`

https://hoppet.hepforge.org

Checks

- against private version of structure-function calculation (thanks to Marco Zaro)
- of structure functions with `APFEL 2.4.1`
- approx vs exact coefficient functions (negligible difference)

Practicalities

For the exclusive part we have

- taken the VBF_HJJJ calculation in POWHEG
- extended POWHEG's tags to uniquely associate radiation with each sector
- for each event, uniquely determined the vector-boson momenta q_1, q_2 and hence the counter-event (with weight -w)

Checks

- results for VBF_HJJJ unchanged
- sum of inclusive + exclusive at NLO, agrees with VBF_H (NLO)
- once the rapidity between the two jets increases, there is a decreasing rate of partons assigned to the “wrong” sector

Check of tagging

- partons are tagged as up or down (U/D)
- classify events into 3- or 4- jet events
- check if the U/D assignment of the partons in a given jet corresponds to the jet rapidity (positive or negative)
- the rate for "non-correspondence" must decrease when the rapidity separation between the leading jets increases

similar plots available for gluons in opposite side (UD,DU)



Check of tagging

- partons are tagged as up or down (U/D)
- classify events into 3- or 4- jet events
- check if the U/D assignment of the partons in a given jet corresponds to the jet rapidity (positive or negative)
- the rate for “non-correspondence” must decrease when the rapidity separation between the leading jets increases

same plot with O(1) bug in the virtual contribution



Phenomenology

Take 13 TeV LHC collisions. Jets: anti-k_t with R=0.4. M_H = 125 GeV, NNPDF3.0_nnlo_as0118 (*also at LO, NLO*), standard EW parameters.

Choose as central scale (which approximates well $\sqrt{Q_1 Q_2}$)

$$\boxed{\mu_0^2(p_{t,H}) = \frac{M_H}{2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_H}{2}\right)^2 + p_{t,H}^2}}$$

Take VBF cuts

- at least two jets with $p_{t,j} > 25 GeV$
- the two hardest (tagging jets) should have

$$\Delta y_{j1j2} > 4.5 \qquad m_{j1j2} > 600\, GeV \qquad |y_j| < 4.5 \qquad y_{j1}y_{j2} < 0$$

Phenomenology

Cross-sections: inclusive and with VBF cuts

	$\sigma^{(\text{no cuts})}$ [pb]	$\sigma^{(\text{VBF cuts})}$ [pb]
LO	$4.032\,^{+0.057}_{-0.069}$	$0.957\,^{+0.066}_{-0.059}$
NLO	$3.929\,^{+0.024}_{-0.023}$	$0.876\,^{+0.008}_{-0.018}$
NNLO	$3.888\,^{+0.016}_{-0.012}$	$0.826\,^{+0.013}_{-0.014}$

- NNLO outside the NLO band
- NNLO about 5% (1%) with (without) VBF cuts
- NNLO corrections appear to make jets softer, hence fewer events pass the VBF cuts (see next plots)

Distributions: $p_{t,j1}$ and $p_{t,j2}$



- NNLO corrections appear to make jets softer
- NNLO corrections up to ~10-12%, typically outside the NLO band

Distributions: $p_{t,H}$ and $\Delta y_{j1,j2}$



- sometimes parton-shower (NLOPS) agrees well with NNLO ($p_{t,H}$) sometimes it does not ($\Delta y_{j1,j2}$)
- non-trivial kinematic dependence of K-factors (NLO/LO and NNLO/NLO)

NLOPS

3 versus 7 scale bands for $p_{t,H}$

3 scales: black lines; 7 scales: all lines



3 scales: $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_0\{1/2, 1, 2\}$

7 scales: $(\mu_R, \mu_F) = \mu_0\{(1/2, 1/2), (1/2, 1), (1, 1/2), (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$

3 versus 7 scale bands for $p_{t,H}$

3 scales: black lines; 7 scales: all lines



Conclusion: 3 and 7 scale bands very similar

Conclusions

- shown first fully differential NNLO results for VBF Higgs production using a new “projection to Born” method
- NNLO reveals that practical VBF (i.e. with cuts) has non-trivial effects beyond NLO, hence differential NNLO is necessary for precision phenomenology (corrections up to 10-12%)
- power of the method highlighted by the fact that NNLO has been achieved for the first time for a $2 \to 3$ LHC process (thanks also to the fact. approx)
- this method opens up ithe prospect for the only N^3LO hadron-collider calculation in the foreseeable future beyond $2 \to 1$

Extra slides

Different NLOPS with POWHEG

Comparison between different showers with and without hadronization within NLOPS-POWHEG. Similar effects for other observables.



Different PDFs at various orders



- LO with LO PDFs
- NLO with NLO PDFs
- NNLO with NNLO PDFs

Different PDFs at various orders



- LO with LO PDFs
- NLO with NLO PDFs
- NNLO with NNLO PDFs