



# Matching NLO QCD with parton shower in Monte Carlo scheme - the KrkNLO method

**S. JADACH**  
**IFJ PAN, Kraków, Poland**

In collaboration with:  
W. Płaczek, S. Sapeta, A. Siódmok and M. Skrzypek

(based on arXiv:1503.06849)

*RADCOR 2015 and Loopfest XIV, June 15-19, 2015, UCLA*

**A method for NLO+PS matching applied to Drell-Yan process,**  
an alternative to MC@NLO and POWHEG will be presented.


Key ingredients are:

- ▶ new factorization scheme leading to new MC PDFs
- ▶ NLO correction applied to PS via reweighting of MC events


Main differences from established methods, POWHEG and MC@NLO:

- ▶ Thanks to departing from  $\overline{MS}$ , the NLO+PS matching method becomes very simple  
→ single multiplicative positive MC weight on top of LO PS MC.
- ▶ In case of angular ordering in PS MC  
→ no need for  $k_T$ -ordered or truncated showers.
- ▶ If it is so simple at NLO+LO PS, one may hope that pushing this method to **NNLO hard proc.** ⊗ **NLO PS MC will be feasible.**
- ▶ It was already checked (beyond scope of this presentation) that the same method works to upgrade PS MC from LO to NLO level.

# Drell-Yan process




# Drell-Yan process




$$s = (p_F + p_B)^2$$

# Drell-Yan process



$$s = (p_F + p_B)^2$$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{s}}{S}$$




$$s = (p_F + p_B)^2$$

$$z = \frac{\hat{s}}{s}$$

Sudakov variables:

$$\alpha = \frac{2k \cdot p_B}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2k^+}{\sqrt{s}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{2k \cdot p_F}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2k^-}{\sqrt{s}}$$



$$s = (p_F + p_B)^2$$

$$z = \frac{\hat{s}}{s}$$

Sudakov variables:

$$\alpha = \frac{2k \cdot p_B}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2k^+}{\sqrt{s}}$$

$$\beta = \frac{2k \cdot p_F}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{2k^-}{\sqrt{s}}$$

$$z = 1 - \alpha - \beta$$

$$k_T^2 = s\alpha\beta$$

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

# DY cross section at NLO in collinear $\overline{\text{MS}}$ factorization

For the  $q\bar{q}$  channel:

$$d\sigma_{\text{DY}}^1 = \sigma_{\text{DY}}^B D_1^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x_1, \hat{s}) \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_{2q}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(z) \otimes D_2^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x_2, \hat{s}),$$

where

$$C_{2q}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(z) = C_F \left[ 4(1+z^2) \left( \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right)_+ - 2 \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \ln z + \delta(1-z) \left( \frac{2}{3} \pi^2 - 8 \right) \right].$$


We want to reproduce this with Monte Carlo, in a fully exclusive way.

If we decide to use  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  PDFs, we need to generate terms of the type  $4(1+z^2) \left( \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right)_+$  that are technical artefacts of  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme.

This is problematic since those terms correspond to the collinear limit but Monte Carlo lives in physical 4 dimensions and not in the artificial phase space restricted by  $\delta(k_T^2)$ .




# Origin of $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$ in $\overline{MS}$



- Integration extends up to a fixed  $k_T = \mu_F$ .


# Origin of $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$ in $\overline{MS}$



- ▶ Integration extends up to a fixed  $k_T = \mu_F$ .
- ▶ For one PDF we get

$$\int \frac{1}{1-z} \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = 2 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$$

# Origin of $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$ in $\overline{MS}$




- ▶ Integration extends up to a fixed  $k_T = \mu_F$ .
- ▶ For one PDF we get

$$\int \frac{1}{1-z} \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = 2 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$$

- ▶ Combining two PDFs leads to overcounting by  $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$

# Origin of $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$ in $\overline{MS}$




- ▶ Integration extends up to a fixed  $k_T = \mu_F$ .
- ▶ For one PDF we get

$$\int \frac{1}{1-z} \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = 2 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$$

- ▶ Combining two PDFs leads to overcounting by  $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$


Could we reorganize phase space integration to remove the oversubtraction?

# Alternative MC factorization scheme in pictorial way




- ▶ Integration in angle rather than  $k_T$ .
- ▶ No overcounting.

# Alternative MC factorization scheme in pictorial way




- ▶ Integration in angle rather than  $k_T$ .
- ▶ No overcounting.
- ▶ This is equivalent to saying that the  $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$  term gets absorbed into PDFs.

# Alternative MC factorization scheme in pictorial way



- ▶ Integration in angle rather than  $k_T$ .
- ▶ No overcounting.
- ▶ This is equivalent to saying that the  $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$  term gets absorbed into PDFs.
- ▶ Such PDFs respect physical boundaries and correspond directly to MC showers.

# Alternative MC factorization scheme in pictorial way



- ▶ Integration in angle rather than  $k_T$ .
- ▶ No overcounting.
- ▶ This is equivalent to saying that the  $4 \frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}$  term gets absorbed into PDFs.
- ▶ Such PDFs respect physical boundaries and correspond directly to MC showers.

The change of factorization scheme help us to simplify NLO+PS matching





# The KrkNLO method



## Two essential steps

### 1. Change the factorization scheme from $\overline{\text{MS}}$ to MC

- ▶ produce new MC PDFs
- ▶ differences at LO
- ▶ universality: recovering  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  NLO result

### 2. Reweight parton shower

- ▶ correct hardest emission by 'real' weight
- ▶ upgrade the cross section/distributions to NLO by multiplicative, constant 'soft+virtual' weight

# The KrkNLO method



[Jadach, Kusina, Płaczek, Skrzypek & Sławińska '13]

↪ Developed and validated within a toy model with angular ordering.

- ▶ Take a parton shower that covers the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  phase space completely and produces emissions according to approx. matrix element  $K \simeq R$ .
- ▶ Upgrade the real emissions to exact ME by **reweighting** with  $R/K$ .
- ▶ Upon integration over transverse d.o.f. this upgraded PS will give an extra contribution  $C_2(z) = \int (R - K)$  to the cross section.
- ▶ Redefine PDFs by subtracting the above  $C_2(z)$  together with all the  $z$ -dependent terms from  $\overline{MS}$  coefficient function. This means transforming PDFs to a new **MC factorization scheme**.
- ▶ Virtual+soft correction,  $\Delta_{S+V}$ , is just a constant now. Multiply the whole result by  $1 + \Delta_{S+V}$  to achieve complete NLO accuracy.

# Implementation on top of the Catani-Seymour shower



↔ We used [Sherpa 2.0.0](#) implementation of the CS shower.

Phase space measure of emitted gluon

$$\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \frac{d\alpha d\beta}{\beta(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{d\alpha d\beta}{\alpha(\alpha + \beta)}$$

- ▶ The evolution variable:

$$q_F^2 = s(\alpha + \beta)\beta, \quad q_B^2 = s(\alpha + \beta)\alpha,$$

hence

$$\frac{d\alpha d\beta}{\alpha\beta} = \frac{dq_F^2}{q_F^2} \frac{dz}{1-z} + \frac{dq_B^2}{q_B^2} \frac{dz}{1-z}.$$

- ▶ The CS shower covers all space of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

$$\alpha + \beta \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{F,B}^2 \leq s$$

$$\alpha, \beta > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (1-z)^2 > q_F^2/s \quad \text{or} \quad (1-z)^2 > q_B^2/s$$

# Implementation on top of the Catani-Seymour shower



↪ It turns out that coefficient functions of the CS shower equal to those from the MC scheme of Jadach et al. arXiv:1103.5015. Hence, CS  $\equiv$  MC.

The  $C_2(z)$  function:

$$C_2^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \int (R - K)$$

- ▶ For the  $q\bar{q}$  channel:

$$C_{2q}^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F [-2(1-z)]$$

- ▶ For the  $qg$  channel:

$$C_{2g}^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} T_R \frac{1}{2} (1-z)(1+3z)$$

- ▶ Quark and anti-quark PDFs are redefined by:
  - ▶ subtracting  $C_{2q}^{\text{MC}}(z)$  and  $C_{2g}^{\text{MC}}(z)$  from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  PDFs
  - ▶ absorbing all  $z$ -dependent terms from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  coefficient functions
- ▶ The virtual correction:

$$C_{2q} \Big|_{\text{virt}} = \delta(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi^2 - \frac{5}{2} \right)$$

is applied multiplicatively.

# Implementation on top of the Catani-Seymour shower



↪ It turns out that coefficient functions of the CS shower equal to those from the MC scheme of Jadach et al. arXiv:1103.5015. Hence, CS  $\equiv$  MC.

The  $C_2(z)$  function:

$$C_2^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \int (R - K)$$

- ▶ For the  $q\bar{q}$  channel:

$$C_{2q}^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F [-2(1-z)]$$

- ▶ For the  $qg$  channel:

$$C_{2g}^{\text{MC}}(z) \Big|_{\text{real}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} T_R \frac{1}{2} (1-z)(1+3z)$$

**Simple form of the coefficient functions with no singular terms!**

- ▶ Quark and anti-quark PDFs are redefined by:
  - ▶ subtracting  $C_{2q}^{\text{MC}}(z)$  and  $C_{2g}^{\text{MC}}(z)$  from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  PDFs
  - ▶ absorbing all  $z$ -dependent terms from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  coefficient functions
- ▶ The virtual correction:

$$C_{2q} \Big|_{\text{virt}} = \delta(1-z) \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi^2 - \frac{5}{2} \right)$$

is applied multiplicatively.

Transformation from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  to MC. The (anti-)quark PDF:

$$f_{q(\bar{q})}^{\text{MC}}(x, Q^2) = f_{q(\bar{q})}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, Q^2) + \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f_{q(\bar{q})}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right) \Delta C_{2q}(z) + \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} f_g^{\overline{\text{MS}}}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right) \Delta C_{2g}(z)$$

where

$$\Delta C_{2q}(z) = C_{2q}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(z) - C_{2q}^{\text{MC}}(z) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \left[ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \ln \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} + 1-z \right]_+$$





$$\Delta C_{2g}(z) = C_{2g}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(z) - C_{2g}^{\text{MC}}(z) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} T_R \left\{ \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right] \ln \frac{(1-z)^2}{z} + 2z(1-z) \right\}$$

The formula is valid for any process up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ .

The gluon PDF for DY



$$f_g^{\text{MC}}(x, Q^2) = f_g^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, Q^2)$$

- ▶ Ratios with respect to standard  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  PDFs for light quarks.





# $\overline{\text{MS}}$ vs MC at LO



- ▶ +5% effect at central rapidities in  $q\bar{q}$  and -20% for both channels
- ▶ pronounced difference at large  $y$  coming from the  $x \sim 1$  region

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{s}} e^{\pm y_Z}$$

# $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme vs MC scheme at NLO



$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} &= f_q \otimes (1 + \alpha_s C_q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}) \otimes f_{\bar{q}} \\ \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{\text{MC}} &= (f_q + \alpha_s \Delta f_q) \otimes (1 + \alpha_s C_q^{\text{MC}}) \otimes (f_{\bar{q}} + \alpha_s \Delta f_{\bar{q}}) \\ &= f_q f_{\bar{q}} + \alpha_s \left( \Delta f_q f_{\bar{q}} + \Delta f_{\bar{q}} f_q + C_q^{\text{MC}} f_q f_{\bar{q}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)\end{aligned}$$

At  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ :

$$C_q^{\overline{\text{MS}}} f_q f_{\bar{q}} = \Delta f_q f_{\bar{q}} + \Delta f_{\bar{q}} f_q + C_q^{\text{MC}} f_q f_{\bar{q}}$$

Drell-Yan,  $q\bar{q}$  channel,  $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(m_Z)$ , MCFM, MSTW2008LO

$$(336.36 \pm 0.09) \text{ pb} = \underbrace{25.79 \text{ pb} + 25.79 \text{ pb} + 284.77 \text{ pb}}_{(336.35 \pm 0.09) \text{ pb}}$$

- ▶ Final result is scheme independent up to  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ .
- ▶ A nontrivial test as  $\Delta f_{q,\bar{q}}$  and  $C_2$  come from totally different places. In particular, the former includes convolutions.
- ▶ Terms  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \simeq 16 \text{ pb}$ , for this example;  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \simeq 0.2 \text{ pb}$ .

↔ Identical validation performed with both  $q\bar{q}$  and  $qg$  channels.

# Reweighting procedure



The “Sudakov” form factor for the CS shower

$$S(Q^2, \Lambda^2, x) = \int_{\Lambda^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \int_{z_{\min}(q^2)}^{z_{\max}(q^2)} dz K(q^2, z, x),$$


where

$$K(q^2, z, x) = \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \frac{D(q^2, x/z)/z}{D(q^2, x)}.$$

- ▶  $z, q^2$  - internal variables of the shower
- ▶  $D(q^2, x)$  - parton distribution functions


The kernel  $K$  is just a CS dipole written in terms of shower's internal variables multiplied by the ratio of PDFs due to backward evolution.

# Upgrading to NLO: the hardest emission




$$\sigma^{\text{LO}} = \sigma_B \otimes D_{\oplus}(Q^2, x_{\oplus}) \otimes D_{\ominus}(Q^2, x_{\ominus})$$

# Upgrading to NLO: the hardest emission



$$\sigma_{1+}^{\text{PS}} = \sigma_B \otimes D_{\oplus}(Q^2, x_{\oplus}) \otimes D_{\ominus}(Q^2, x_{\ominus})$$
$$\otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2) K_{\oplus}(q_1^2, z_1) S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) + S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) K_{\ominus}(q_1^2, z_1) S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2) \right\}$$

# Upgrading to NLO: the hardest emission



$$\sigma_{2+}^{\text{PS}} = \sigma_B \otimes D_{\oplus}(Q^2, x_{\oplus}) \otimes D_{\ominus}(Q^2, x_{\ominus})$$


$$\otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2) K_{\oplus}(q_1^2, z_1) S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) \right.$$

$$\otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\oplus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) + S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\ominus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) \right\}$$

$$+ S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) \otimes K_{\ominus}(q_1^2, z_1) \otimes S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2)$$

$$\otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\oplus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) + S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\ominus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) \right\}$$

# Upgrading to NLO: the hardest emission



$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{2+}^{\text{NLO+PS}} &= \sigma_B (1 + V) \otimes D_{\oplus}(Q^2, x_{\oplus}) \otimes D_{\ominus}(Q^2, x_{\ominus}) \\
 &\otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2) K_{\oplus}(q_1^2, z_1) S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) R_{\oplus}(q_1^2, z_1) / K_{\oplus}(q_1^2, z_1) \right. \\
 &\quad \otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\oplus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) + S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\ominus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) \right\} \\
 &\quad + S_{\ominus}(q_1^2, Q^2) \otimes K_{\ominus}(q_1^2, z_1) \otimes S_{\oplus}(q_1^2, Q^2) R_{\ominus}(q_1^2, z_1) / K_{\ominus}(q_1^2, z_1) \\
 &\quad \left. \otimes \left\{ S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\oplus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) + S_{\oplus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) K_{\ominus}(q_2^2, z_2) S_{\ominus}(q_2^2, q_1^2) \right\} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

# The MC weight for CS shower



↔ Averaged over angles of decay products.

Real part:

$$W_R^{q\bar{q}}(\alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha\beta}{1 + (1 - \alpha - \beta)^2}$$

$$W_R^{qg}(\alpha, \beta) = 1 + \frac{\beta(\beta + 2z)}{(1 - z)^2 + z^2}$$

Virtual + soft:

$$W_{V+S}^{q\bar{q}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \left[ \frac{4}{3}\pi^2 - \frac{5}{2} \right]$$

$$W_{V+S}^{qg} = 0$$

- ▶ **Real weight is a simple function of kinematic variables.**

One can compute it on the fly, inside an MC, or outside, using information from event record.

- ▶ **Virtual+soft weight is a constant.**

No need to generate strictly collinear contributions (like  $d\Sigma^{c\pm}$  terms in MC@NLO).



# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{X_F X_B}, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(s_{X_F X_B})(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{X_F X_B} z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(s_{X_F X_B})W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{X_F X_B} z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{x_F x_B}, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(s_{x_F x_B})(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{x_F x_B z_{1F}}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(s_{x_F x_B})W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_{x_F x_B z_{1F}}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

▶  $D_{\text{MC}}^{F,B}(q_{1F}^2, x_{F,B}) \rightarrow D_{\text{MC}}^{F,B}(s, x_{F,B})$

▶  $e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)} \rightarrow 1$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(s, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(s, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(s, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(s, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)\left[J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) - J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B)\right] \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(s, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(s, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



$$\begin{aligned}d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B, \hat{\theta})(1 + \Delta_{VS})e^{-S_F(s, q_s^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_s^2)} \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_s^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_s^2, x_B)J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)(1 + \Delta_{VS})\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times e^{-S_F(s, q_{1F}^2)}e^{-S_B(s, q_{1F}^2)}D_{\text{MC}}^F(q_{1F}^2, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(q_{1F}^2, x_B)\left[J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B) - J_{\text{LO}}(x_F, x_B)\right] \\ &+ \int d^3\rho_1^F(sx_F x_B)W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}(k_1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(sx_F x_B z_{1F}, \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times D_{\text{MC}}^F(s, x_F)D_{\text{MC}}^B(s, x_B)J_{\text{NLO}}(x_F, x_B, z_{1F}, k_{1T}^2)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $+J_{\text{LO}}$  term goes to the first part and moves PDFs to the scale  $s$
- ▶  $-J_{\text{LO}}$  term makes the second part finite  $\Rightarrow$  drop Sudakovs and  $\Delta_{VS}$
- ▶  $s \rightarrow \hat{s}$  (corrections  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ )

# NLO accuracy of KrkNLO results



We get

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma^{\text{KrkNLO}} = & (1 + \Delta_{VS}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(s_1, \hat{\theta}) J_{\text{LO}} D_F^{\text{MC}}(\hat{s}, x_F) D_B^{\text{MC}}(\hat{s}, x_B) \\ & + \int d^3\rho_1 \left\{ \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(zs_1, \hat{\theta}) (1 + W_{q\bar{q}}^{[1]}) J_{\text{NLO}} - \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(zs_1, \hat{\theta}) J_{\text{LO}} \right\} \\ & \times D_F^{\text{MC}}(\hat{s}, x_F) D_B^{\text{MC}}(\hat{s}, x_B) \end{aligned}$$

to be compared with

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma^{\text{NLO}} = & \int dz D_F^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\hat{s}, x_F) D_B^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\hat{s}, x_B) \\ & \times \left\{ (1 + \Delta_{VS}) \delta(1 - z) + 2\Sigma_q(z) \right\} \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega}(zs_1, \theta) J(x_F, x_B, 1, 0) \\ & + \int \left\{ d\sigma_{\text{NLO}} J_{\text{NLO}} - d\sigma_{\text{NLO-ct}} J_{\text{LO}} \right\} D_F^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\hat{s}, x_F) D_B^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\hat{s}, x_B) \end{aligned}$$





# Results



## KrkNLO

- ▶ Virtual:  $\mu^2 = \mu_F^2 = \mu_R^2 = m_Z^2$
  - ▶ Real: two choices
    - ▶  $\mu^2 = m_Z^2$
    - ▶  $\mu^2 = q^2$
- ↪ differences formally beyond NLO, indicative of missing higher orders

Compared to:

- ▶ **MCFM**: pure NLO,  $\mu^2 = m_Z^2$
- ▶ **MC@NLO**: from Sherpa, with the evolution variable  $q^2$
- ▶ **POWHEG**: from Herwig++, with the evolution variable  $k_T^2$

## $q\bar{q}$ channel

	$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{q\bar{q}}$ [pb]
MCFM	$1273.4 \pm 0.1$
MC@NLO	$1273.4 \pm 0.1$
POWHEG	$1272.1 \pm 0.7$
KrkNLO $\alpha_s(q^2)$	$1282.6 \pm 0.2$
KrkNLO $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$	$1285.3 \pm 0.2$



## $q\bar{q} + qg$ channels

	$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{q\bar{q}+qg}$ [pb]
MCFM	$1086.5 \pm 0.1$
MC@NLO	$1086.5 \pm 0.1$
POWHEG	$1084.2 \pm 0.6$
KrkNLO $\alpha_s(q^2)$	$1045.4 \pm 0.1$
KrkNLO $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$	$1039.0 \pm 0.1$

- ▶ sub-percent differences from beyond-NLO terms in the KrkNLO result (MC PDFs, mixed real-virtual)
- ▶ negligible difference between fixed and running coupling


- ▶ beyond-NLO terms reach up to 4% in the KrkNLO result  
 ↪ resulting from large gluon luminosity leading to  $f^{\text{MC}}/f^{\overline{\text{MS}}} < 1$
- ▶ small differences between fixed and running coupling choices

# Matched results: $q\bar{q}$ , 1st emission




- ▶ Reproduction of  $y_Z$  distribution at NLO.
- ▶ Agreement of KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(q^2)$  with MC@NLO at low  $p_{T,Z}$ : PS domination
- ▶ KrkNLO results above MC@NLO and MCFM at higher  $p_{T,Z}$ :  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  terms

8 TeV:  $q\bar{q}$  channel (full parton shower evolution)





8 TeV:  $q\bar{q}$  channel (full parton shower evolution)




- ▶ Low  $p_{T,Z}$  part of the spectrum changes but KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(q^2)$  with MC@NLO agree there because of shower domination
- ▶ KrkNLO results above pure NLO at high  $p_{T,Z}$ : admixture of NNLO terms
- ▶ Differs between two KrkNLO result at high  $p_{T,Z}$ : running coupling effects

# Matched results: botch channels, 1st emission



- Moderate differences between KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(q^2)$  and MC@NLO in the region below  $M_Z$  and between KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$  and MC@NLO in the region above  $M_Z$

# Matched results: both channels, full PS



- ▶ KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(q^2)$  stays overall very close to MC@NLO
- ▶ KrkNLO  $\alpha_s(q^2)$  almost coincides with POWHEG  $p_{T,Z}$  distributions



- ▶ A new method of NLO+PS matching was presented:
  - ▶ Events of LO parton shower are corrected by simple reweighting.
  - ▶ Pathological collinear NLO terms are dealt with by means of transferring them into PDFs:  
The change of factorization scheme from  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  into MC.
  - ▶ Virtual correction is just a constant, independent of kinematics.
- ▶ The implementation on top of Catani-Seymour shower in Sherpa event generator was presented.
- ▶ Careful validated against fixed order NLO for Drell-Yan process in the analytical and numerical form was done.
- ▶ Examples of comparisons to MC@NLO and POWHEG were shown.