Higgs boson production through gluon fusion at N³LO

Elisabetta Furlan **‡Fermilab**

Work in collaboration with Babis Anastasiou, Claude Duhr, Falko Dulat, Franz Kerzog, Thomas Gehrmann, Achilleas Lazopoulos, Bernhard Mistlberger

• The LHC is after a great enterprise ..





discover and study the Higgs boson

• The LHC is after a great enterprise ...





discover and *study* the Higgs boson

• The LHC is after a great enterprise ...







• The LHC is after a great enterprise ..



- The Standard Model has so far been validated by a huge number of collider experiments; do we have the same kind of control in the Higgs sector?
- can we use the Higgs boson as a "window" on new physics?

-> deviations in Higgs couplings

Model	κ_V	κ_b	κ_γ
Singlet Mixing	$\sim 6\%$	$\sim 6\%$	$\sim 6\%$
2HDM	$\sim 1\%$	$\sim 10\%$	$\sim 1\%$
Decoupling MSSM	$\sim -0.0013\%$	$\sim 1.6\%$	$\sim4\%$
Composite	$\sim -3\%$	$\sim -(3-9)\%$	$\sim -9\%$
Top Partner	$\sim -2\%$	$\sim -2\%$	$\sim +1\%$

Dawson et al., Snowmass WG Report: Higgs Boson, <u>arxiv:1310.8361</u>

• The Standard Model has so far been validated by a huge number of collider experiments; do we have the same kind of control in the Higgs sector?



• How important is the theory error in these studies?



similar projections from atlas http://cds.cern.ch/record/1956710/files/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-016.pdf, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-016

- How important is the theory error in these studies?
 - the reduction of the theory error is crucial for improving the bounds on the Higgs couplings
 - gluon-fusion Higgs production is the one single process that drives the theory error

$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$	$\sigma [{ m pb}]$	$\delta\sigma^{theo}/\sigma$
$ggH^{(NNLO)}$	44	+7.4% -7.9%
VBF	3.7	+0.7% -0.7%
WH	1.4	+0.7% -1.5%
ZH	0.87	+3.8% -3.8%

LHC Higgs cross section WG recommendations, 2014

- How important is the theory error in these studies?
 - the reduction of the theory error is crucial for improving the bounds on the Higgs couplings
 - gluon-fusion Higgs production is the one single process that drives the theory error
 - ➡ the inclusion of the N³LO corrections allows to match the experimental accuracy after Run 2

		$\sigma^{13{ m TeV}}[{ m pb}]$	$rac{\delta\sigma^{ m scale}}{\sigma}$
NLO 1.6 NLO 1.9	LO	23.9	\sim 16%
	NLO	37.1	\sim 14%
	NNLO	43.6	$\sim~7\%$
	N ³ LO C. Anastasiou et al., PRL 114, 21 (2015)	44.3	A 3% (also, S. Buelher et al., JHEP 1310, 096 (2010))

K

 K_l

theory

experiment

• current precision $\sim 30\%$

• end of Run 2 $\sim 10\%$

S. Dawson et al., Higgs WG report of the Snowmass 2013 Community Planning Study

Gluon fusion Higgs production

• Framework:

(C. Duhr, Tuesday)





• threshold expansion around $z = \frac{m_H^2}{s} \simeq 1$ $\hat{\sigma}(z) = \hat{\sigma}_{SV} + \hat{\sigma}^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N_{trunc}} \sigma^{(n)} (1-z)^n$

Techniques

- Allows to map phase space integrals into loop integrals with cut propagators
 - ⇒ apply "standard" techniques for the treatment of loop integrals also to phase space integrals
- How it works: By the optical theorem, "The imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude is proportional to the total scattering cross section"

 $\left| \propto \sum_{f} \int d\Pi_{f} \right|$

unitarity methods

Bern, Dixon, Kosower, NPB 513, 3 (1998) Britto, Cachazo, Feng, NPB 725, 275 (2005) Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau, NPB 763, 147 (2007)



Anastasiou, Melnikov, NPB 646 (2002) 220; Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello, PRL 91 (2003) 182002

the on-shell condition on phase space integrals corresponds to cuts, i.e. discontinuities, of propagators

$$\delta_+(q^2) \to \left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)_c = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Disc} \frac{1}{q^2}$$

 Cut propagators can be differentiated with respect to their momenta as normal propagators, with the condition that

$$\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)_c^n (q^2)^m = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)_c^{n-m}, & \text{if } n > m \\ 0, & \text{if } m \ge n \end{cases}$$

Cutkosky, J. Math. Phys. 1, 429 (1960)

as regular propagators, cut propagators can also be Taylor-expanded in some small expansion parameter, or differentiated with respect to the loop momentum

Threshold expansion

• Example: double-real virtual contributions



• Aim: expand amplitudes and phase-space measure around $\bar{z}=1-z=0$, i.e. for q_3, q_4 soft

→ introduce the scaling properties

 $q_1, q_2 \to p_1, p_2$

 $q_3, q_4 \rightarrow \bar{z}p_3, \bar{z}p_4$

• How do we expand

$$\delta_+(p_H^2 - m_H^2) = \frac{1}{\bar{z}}\,\delta_+(s_{12} - 2\,p_{12}\cdot p_{34} + \bar{z}\,s_{34})$$

around $\bar{z} = 0$?

using reverse unitarity!
 map this on-shell condition into the corresponding cut propagator
 Taylor expand it around z = 0

the on-shell condition for the Higgs boson assumes the form

$$\delta_+(s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}) \left(\frac{1}{s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}}\right)_c^n \bar{z}^n$$

the on-shell condition for the Higgs boson assumes the form

$$\delta_+(s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}) \left(\frac{1}{s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}}\right)_c^n \bar{z}^n$$

enters the "soft" phase-space measure $4 d^D m$

$$d\Phi_3^S \sim \delta_+ (s_{12} - 2p_{12} \cdot p_{34}) \prod_{i=3}^{-1} \frac{d^D p_i}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \delta_+ (p_i^2)$$

the on-shell condition for the Higgs boson assumes the form

$$\delta_+(s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}) \left(\frac{1}{s_{12} - 2\,p_{12} \cdot p_{34}}\right)_c^n \bar{z}^n$$

powers of cut propagators

can be reduced with the use of "integration by part" identities

Expansion by regions

- Integration over loop momenta and expansion in \bar{z} do not commute
 - → we cannot perform a Taylor expansion of the integrand in \overline{z} and then integrate over the loop momentum
- The correct approach is expand the integrand according to the scaling properties of the loop momentum in different regions and sum the contribution from all regions

Beneke, Smirnov, NPB 522 (1998) 321; Jantzen, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2139; Pak, Smirnov, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1626; Jantzen, JHEP 12 (2011) 076

Smirnov, Beneke

• Consider the one - dimensional bubble

$$B = \int_0^\infty dk \, \frac{1}{(k+m)(k+p)} \, , \ p \ll m \, .$$

• Direct integration using partial fractioning yields

$$B = \frac{\log \frac{p}{m}}{p - m} \simeq -\frac{1}{m} \log \frac{p}{m} \left(1 + \frac{p}{m} + \frac{p^2}{m^2} + \dots\right)$$

Can we obtain this expansion using the hierarchy among the physical scales involved?

Not trivial: p acts as IR regulator, so B diverges for $p \rightarrow 0$!

Smirnov, Beneke

• Introduce a regulator

$$B(\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty dk \, \frac{k^{-\epsilon}}{(k+m)(k+p)}$$

- The loop momentum can be either of the order of p (i.e., soft) or m (i.e., hard)
- Expand in the corresponding small parameter in the two regions, integrate over the entire range of the loop momentum, and sum the two contributions

Smirnov, Beneke

hard region ($k \sim m \gg p$)

$$B(\epsilon)_h \simeq \int_0^\infty dk \, \frac{k^{-\epsilon}}{(k+m)k} \left(1 - \frac{p}{k} + \frac{p^2}{k^2} + \dots \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log m \right) \left(1 + \frac{p}{m} + \frac{p^2}{m^2} + \dots \right)$$

• soft region ($k \sim p \ll m$)

$$B(\epsilon)_s \simeq \frac{1}{m} \int_0^\infty dk \, \frac{k^{-\epsilon}}{k+p} \left(1 - \frac{k}{m} + \frac{k^2}{m^2} + \dots \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log p \right) \left(1 + \frac{p}{m} + \frac{p^2}{m^2} + \dots \right)$$



Smirnov, Beneke

• The poles cancel between the two region and

 $\overline{B(\epsilon)_h} + B(\epsilon)_s = B(\epsilon)$

Smirnov, Beneke

• The poles cancel between the two region and

 $B(\epsilon)_h + B(\epsilon)_s = B(\epsilon)$

• Schematically,



Expansion by regions

• In our case, the loop momentum can be

 \Rightarrow hard, $k^2 \sim s$

 \Rightarrow collinear to p_1 , $k.p_1 \sim s$, $k.p_2 \sim \bar{z}s$, $k_{\perp}^2 \sim \bar{z}s$

 \Rightarrow collinear to p_2 , $k.p_1 \sim \bar{z}s$, $k.p_2 \sim s$, $k_{\perp}^2 \sim \bar{z}s$

ightarrow Soft, $k^2 \sim s \overline{z}^2$ (B. Mistlberger, Wednesday)

 In each region, expand the integrand according to these scaling properties

Integration by part identities

• They allow to write relations among the integrals that we need to compute

Tkachov, PLB100, 65 (1981); Chetyrkin, Tkachov, NPB192, 159 (1981); Gehrmann, Remiddi, NPB 580 (2000) 485

- The large system of equation generated can be solved in an automated way implementing the Laporta algorithm
 - ⇒ in house software
 - ➡ eg: for threshold Higgs production, reduce to the calculation of ~50 master integrals

Summary

- Gluon-fusion Higgs production can be studied in an heavy quark effective theory
 - ➡ integrate out the top quark and only work in massless QCD
- The Higgs is almost at threshold
 - ➡ to tackle the N³LO, we can start from a threshold expansion
 - but we need a few terms in this expansion to get a reliable prediction

Summary

- We use reverse unitarity to map phase space integrals into (cut) loop integrals
 - can apply IBP identities and reduce to masters
- Loop amplitudes are expanded around the Higgs threshold with the strategy of regions
 - \Rightarrow four regions: soft, collinear (1 & 2) and hard