The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions



### The Drell-Yan process beyond threshold

Domenico Bonocore

### RadCor-Loopfest 2015 UCLA, 15 June 2015

based on arXiv:1410.6406 and arXiv:1503.05156 DB, E.Laenen, L.Magnea, S.Melville, L.Vernazza, C.D.White

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

### OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION Threshold and soft expansion NNLO DY: double real NNLO DY: 1 real 1 virtual

THE METHOD OF REGIONS Basics Results for NNLO DY

A FACTORIZATION APPROACH Hard, Soft and Jet functions A factorization formula The Jet emission function Results for NNLO DY

CONCLUSIONS

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### THRESHOLD EXPANSION

Soft gluons generate  $\log(\xi)$  that spoil perturbation theory when  $\xi \to 0$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} \alpha_s^n \left( a_{nm} \frac{\log^m(\xi)}{\xi} + b_{nm} \log^m(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\xi) \right) \quad (1)$$

•  $a_{nm}$ : **LP** Logs  $\mathcal{D}^i \rightarrow$  eikonal approximation

►  $b_{nm}$ : NLP Logs  $L^i \rightarrow$  "next-to-eikonal" or "next-to-soft"

### WHY WE NEED NEXT-TO-SOFT

- ► phenomenology
  - including recoil and spin interactions in soft emissions
  - precision (e.g. Higgs production)
- ► theory
  - next-to-soft theorems
  - understand infrared structure of gauge and gravity theories

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### A VERY RECENT STORY

- next-to-soft theorems [Cachazo, Strominger 2014, Bern, Davis, Nohle 2014]
- ► SCET [Larkosky, Neill, Stewart 2014]
- N<sup>3</sup>LO Higgs via threshold expansion [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger 2013]

### WHY DY?

A bottom-up approach towards an understanding of all-orders properties of next-to-threshold corrections

- **simplest** application (we consider only  $C_F^2$  terms)
- ► NNLO known for many years
- ► very similar to **Higgs** production via gluon fusion
- threshold radiation is forced to be soft (all Log(1-z) come from soft real radiation)
- interplay between soft and collinear effects (some Log(1-z) are affected by collinear virtual radiation)

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### DOUBLE-REAL (ABELIAN-LIKE) DY



(a)











(f)

A

≡ ∽੧<

### K FACTOR FROM FULL-QCD

Result from full QCD for the K factor  $K_{2r}^{(2)}(z)$  at next-to-threshold level for the double-real (abelian) DY:

$$K_{2r}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[\frac{32 - 32\mathcal{D}_0(z)}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{80 + 128\mathcal{D}_1(z) - 128\log(1-z)}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{-256\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 320\log(1-z) + 256\log^2(1-z)}{\epsilon} + -\frac{1024}{3}\log^3(1-z) + 640\log^2(1-z) + 32 - \frac{1024\mathcal{D}_3(z)}{3}\right]$$

$$(2)$$

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

(3)

### AN EFFECTIVE APPROACH

► Eikonal (E)



► Next-to-Eikonal (NE)

$$\frac{k^{2} p^{\mu}}{2(p \cdot k)^{2}} - \frac{k \gamma^{\mu}}{2p \cdot k}$$
(4)
$$\frac{(pk_{2})p^{\mu}k_{1}^{\nu} + (pk_{1})k_{2}^{\mu}p^{\nu} - (pk_{1})(pk_{2})g^{\mu\nu} - (k_{1}k_{2})p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p \cdot (k_{1} + k_{2})}$$
(5)

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

## Both LP ( $D_i$ ) and NLP ( $L_i$ ) are reproduced

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### 1 REAL 1 VIRTUAL (ABELIAN-LIKE) DY

































The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### FULL QCD COMPUTATION

Result from full QCD for the K factor  $K_{1r1v}^{(2)}(z)$  at next-to-threshold level for the 1-real 1-virtual (abelian) DY:

$$\begin{split} K_{1r1v}^{(2)}(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[\frac{2\mathcal{D}_0(z) - 2}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{-4\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 3\mathcal{D}_0(z) + 4\log(1-z) - 6}{\epsilon^2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{16\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 24\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 32\mathcal{D}_0(z)}{4\epsilon} \\ &+ \frac{-16\log^2(1-z) + 52\log(1-z) - 49}{4\epsilon} \\ &- \frac{8\mathcal{D}_3(z)}{3} + 6\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 16\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 16\mathcal{D}_0(z) + \frac{8}{3}\log^3(1-z) \\ &- \frac{29}{2}\log^2(1-z) + \frac{103}{4}\log(1-z) - \frac{51}{2}\right] \end{split}$$
(6)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Introduction

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

### LP Logs (all powers of $D_i$ ) and the leading NLP ( $L^3$ ) are reproduced

No matching for  $L^2$  and  $L^1$  !

Introduction	The Method of Regions	A factorization approach	Conclusions
○○○○○○○○○●	000000	000000000000000	

Beyond the threshold limit, an effective field theory approach fails for 1r-1v, because the soft expansion of the real gluon's momentum neglect collinear effects of the virtual gluon. We need another strategy:

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

We tackle the problem in two different ways:

- Method of Regions
- ► Factorization Approach

### The method of regions

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### THE METHOD OF REGIONS

A systematic procedure for expanding loop integrals about their singular regions. [Beneke, Smirnov 1998, Jantzen 2011]

We distinguish different regions for the momentum  $l^{\mu}$  by the different scalings of its components

Hard :  $l \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}} (1, 1, 1)$ Soft :  $l \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}} (\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$ Collinear :  $l \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}} (1, \lambda, \lambda^2)$ Anticollinear :  $l \sim \sqrt{\hat{s}} (\lambda^2, \lambda, 1)$  (7)

The Method of Regions ○●○○○○ A factorization approach

Conclusions

### DY VIA MOR: SOFT REGION

$$K_{\rm E,\,s}^{(2)}(z) = K_{\rm NE,\,s}^{(2)}(z) = 0$$
 (8)

- イロト (日) (三) (三) (三) (三) (つ) (つ)

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### DY VIA MOR: HARD REGION

$$K_{\rm E,h}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[\frac{2\mathcal{D}_0(z)}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{-4 + 3\mathcal{D}_0(z) - 4\mathcal{D}_1(z)}{\epsilon^2} \right]$$
(9)  
$$+ \frac{-6 + 8\mathcal{D}_0(z) - 6\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 4\mathcal{D}_2(z) + 8\log(1-z)}{\epsilon}$$
(9)  
$$- 16 + 16\mathcal{D}_0(z) - 16\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 6\mathcal{D}_2(z) - \frac{8\mathcal{D}_3(z)}{3}$$
$$+ 12\log(1-z) - 8\log^2(1-z) \right]$$
(10)  
$$K_{\rm NE,h}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[ -\frac{2}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{1 + 4\log(1-z)}{\epsilon^2} \\+ \frac{-5 + 2\log(1-z) - 4\log^2(1-z)}{\epsilon} - 8 \\+ 10\log(1-z) - 2\log^2(1-z) + \frac{8}{3}\log^3(1-z) \right]$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### DY VIA MOR: (ANTI)COLLINEAR REGION

Soft emission from triangle diagrams:

$$K_{\rm NE, \, c+\bar{c}}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{3\log(1-z)}{2\epsilon} + 1 - \frac{9}{4}\log^2(1-z) \right]$$
(11)

Soft emission from self energy diagrams:

$$K_{\rm NE, \, c+\bar{c}}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{-5 + 6\log(1-z)}{4\epsilon} - \frac{5}{2} + \frac{15}{4}\log(1-z) - \frac{9}{4}\log^2(1-z) \right]$$
(12)

#### Note it is only NE Eikonal terms are present but they cancel

### ABOUT THE ORDER OF EXPANSION

#### At amplitude level

Hard: 
$$\frac{(2p \cdot \bar{p})^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2} \left[ E + NE + \dots \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$$
 (13)

Collinear: 
$$\frac{(-2p \cdot k_2)^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \left[ \text{NE} + \dots \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$$
 (14)

$$(-2p \cdot k_2)^{-\epsilon} \sim (1-z)^{-\epsilon} \tag{15}$$

Such a factor would be absent if one performed the soft expansion before the dimensional regularization expansion

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

Introduction 00000000000	The Method of Regions ○○○○○●	A factorization approach	Conclusions

$$K_{soft}^{(2)}(z) + K_{hard}^{(2)}(z) + K_{coll}^{(2)}(z) + K_{anti-coll}^{(2)}(z) = K_{1r1v}^{(2)}(z)$$
(16)

# With the MoR we reproduced the entire next-to-threshold Log structure (both $D^i$ and $L^i$ ) of the NNLO DY.

Very powerful, but no insight to higher orders. We need factorization approach

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### A factorization approach

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### SOFT COLLINEAR FACTORIZATION [Dixon,Magnea,Sterman 0805.3515]



Soft  $S\left(\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon\right) = \langle 0|\Phi_{\beta_2}(\infty, 0)\Phi_{\beta_1}(0, -\infty)|0\rangle$ Jet  $J\left(\frac{(p \cdot n)^2}{n^2\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon\right) u(p) = \langle 0|\Phi_n(\infty, 0)\psi(0)|p\rangle$ Eik Jet  $\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{(\beta_1 \cdot n)^2}{n^2\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon\right) = \langle 0|\Phi_n(\infty, 0)\Phi_{\beta_1}(0, -\infty)|0\rangle$ 

A factorization approach

### ABOUT THE *n* DEPENDENCE

At one loop this becomes

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{S} + \sum_{i} (J_i - \mathcal{J}_i)$$
(17)

- at pole level *n* (and  $\beta$ ) dependence cancels among *S*, *J*, *J*
- ➤ H is a finite (process dependent) function *defined* to cancel the residual n dependence, by matching with the full 1-loop form factor.

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### The jet function with $n^2 = 0$



- ► (a) scaless integral (UV+IR=0)
- ► (b) standard QCD counterterm
- ► (c) 0
- ► (d) counterterm for a.

A factorization approach

### THE JET FUNCTION WITH $n^2 = 0$

$$J^{(1)}(n,p) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left( -\frac{4\pi\mu^2 e^{-\gamma_E}}{2p \cdot n} \right)^{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{2\epsilon} + 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]$$
(18)

Spurious collinear singularities are introduced by the choosing  $n^2 = 0$ , but this greatly simplify the calculation.

Moreover, at all orders

$$J(n,p)_{bare} = 1 \tag{19}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### THE LOW-BURNETT-KROLL THEOREM

How does a soft emission affect an amplitude? Low theorem: express a radiative amplitude in terms of the non radiative one.

- ► Low: scalar particles
- Burnett and Kroll: spinor particles
- Del Duca: theorem extended to the region m<sup>2</sup>/Q<sup>2</sup> < E < m<sup>2</sup>
   (collinear region). In Low original analysis gluon energy *E* is the smallest scale of the problem.

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### DEL DUCA MODIFICATIONS (NUCLPHYSB345 '90)

Del Duca generalized Low's original analysis attaching an extra soft gluon to the factorized amplitude (via Ward Identity) Introduce 2 polarization tensors:

$$K^{\nu\mu}(p,k) = \frac{k^{\nu}(2p+k)^{\mu}}{k^2 + 2p \cdot k}$$
(20)

$$G^{\nu\mu}(p,k) = g^{\mu\nu} - K^{\nu\mu}$$
(21)

Emission contributes with terms got via Ward identity

- K + G emission from  $\mathcal{H}$
- K + G emission from S
- ► *K* emission from *J*

and with a contribution excluded in Low original analysis

► *G* emission from *J* 

### A FACTORIZATION FORMULA

The ansatz proposed by Del Duca with modern definition of  $\mathcal{H}$ , S and J (i.e. taking special care of the auxiliary vector n) offers a **factorization formula** for next-to-threshold Logs at amplitude level:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu} = \sum_{i} \left[ q_{i} \left( \frac{(2p_{i} - k)^{\mu}}{2p_{i} \cdot k - k^{2}} - G^{\nu\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} \right) \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{H}(p_{i}) \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\beta_{i}) G^{\nu\mu} \left( J^{\mu}(p_{i}, k) - q_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} J(p_{i}, k) \right) \prod_{j \neq i} J(p_{j}) \right]$$
(22)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### A FACTORIZATION FORMULA

It is made out of 3 main ingredients

- external (scalar) emission
- derivative of the non radiative amplitude
- jet emission function  $J^{\mu}$

### BARE VS RENORMALIZED

From RG arguments for the radiative and non-radiative amplitude, it follows that all the counterterms must vanish.

We can left the quantities unrenormalized, with great advantage. E.g.: if J = 1 then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial_{\mu}}J = 0$ 

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu} = \sum_{i} \left( q_{i} \frac{(2p_{i} - k)^{\mu}}{2p_{i} \cdot k - k^{2}} + q_{i} G^{\nu\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} + J^{\mu}(p_{i}, k) \right) \mathcal{A}$$
(23)

In this way the comparison with the MoR (bare) is even stronger

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

The jet emission function for  $n^2 = 0$ 

$$J_{\mu}(p,n,k_2) u(p) = \left\langle 0 \left| \int d^d y e^{-i(p+k_2) \cdot y} \Phi_n(y,\infty) \psi(y) j_{\mu}(0) \right| p \right\rangle$$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The Method of Regions

Conclusions

#### RESULT

$$J^{\nu(1)}(p,n,k;\epsilon) = (2p \cdot k)^{-\epsilon} \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\epsilon} + 4 + 8\epsilon \right) \left( \frac{n \cdot k}{p \cdot k} \frac{p^{\nu}}{p \cdot n} - \frac{n^{\nu}}{p \cdot n} \right) - (1 + 2\epsilon) \frac{ik_{\alpha}[\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}]}{4p \cdot k} + \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} - 3\epsilon \right) \frac{k^{\nu}}{p \cdot k} + (1 + 3\epsilon) \left( \frac{\gamma^{\nu} \not{n}}{p \cdot n} - \frac{p^{\nu} \not{k} \not{n}}{p \cdot k p \cdot n} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2},k)$$

$$(24)$$

### CONTRACTION WITH THE G TENSOR

The role of the G tensor is to project out the pure spin-dependent part of the jet emission function:

$$G^{\nu\mu} \left( -\frac{p_{\nu}}{p \cdot k_2} + \frac{k_2' \gamma_{\nu}}{2p \cdot k_2} \right) = \frac{k_2 \nu \left[ \gamma^{\nu}, \gamma^{\mu} \right]}{4p \cdot k_2}$$
(25)

This term then represents the coupling of the soft gluon to the magnetic moment of the hard leg.

It combines with the scalar external contribution, to give a full external emission.

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

FACTORIZATION APPROACH: EXTERNAL EMISSION

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\right)^2 \left\{ \frac{32}{\epsilon^3} \left[ \mathcal{D}_0(z) - 1 \right] + \frac{8}{\epsilon^2} \left[ -8\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 6\mathcal{D}_0(z) + 8L(z) - 14 \right] \right. \\ &+ \frac{16}{\epsilon} \left[ 4\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 6\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 8\mathcal{D}_0(z) - 4L^2(z) + 14L(z) - 14 \right] \\ &- \frac{128}{3}\mathcal{D}_3(z) + 96\mathcal{D}_2(z) - 256\mathcal{D}_1(z) + 256\mathcal{D}_0(z) \\ &+ \frac{128}{3}L^3(z) - 224L^2(z) + 448L(z) - 512 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(26)$$

This can be achieved via an effective field theory approach.

All  $\mathcal{D}$  's come from this contribution

- i.e. all Eikonal bits come from external emission
- i.e. Eikonal emissions factorize

## FACTORIZATION APPROACH: DERIVATIVE OF THE FULL FORM FACTOR

$$K_{\partial\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F\right)^2 \left\{\frac{32}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{16}{\epsilon} \left[-4L(z) + 3\right] + 64L^2(z) - 96L(z) + 128\right\}$$
(27)  
This cannot be caught by a factorization effective Feynman rule

approach:



The Method of Regions

 Conclusions

### FACTORIZATION APPROACH: THE COLLINEAR BIT

$$K_{\text{collinear}}^{(2)}(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F\right)^2 \left\{ -\frac{16}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{4}{\epsilon} \left[ 12L(z) - 5 \right] - 72L^2(z) + 60L(z) - 24 \right\}$$
(28)

 The Method of Regions

$$K_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(z) + K_{\partial\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}(z) + K_{\text{collinear}}^{(2)}(z) = K_{1r1v}^{(2)}$$
(29)

### All $\mathcal{D}^i$ and $L^i$ and even the constant!

We reproduced the entire next-to-threshold Log structure of the NNLO DY with a factorization approach

### CONCLUSIONS

- ► problem
  - ► We don't know how to resum next-to-threshold Logs
  - Important for both theory and phenomenology
- ► proposal
  - A factorization formula has been proposed, based on universal functions
  - The Method of Regions is a powerful tool to understand the asymptotic behavior of those functions
  - Crucial to this analysis is a treatment of collinear region (jet emission function)
- outlook
  - from factorization to resummation
  - non abelian generalization and Higgs production
  - ► application to other processes (DIS, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, *HH*)

### Thanks for your attention!

<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

Sac

Э

### BACKUP SLIDES: EIKONAL IDENTITY



Factorization works very easily at eikonal level.

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### BACKUP SLIDES: NEXT-TO-EIKONAL IDENTITY



There are correlations between pairs of gluons. Naive factorization is broken at NE level.

The Method of Regions

A factorization approach

Conclusions

### BACKUP SLIDES: NULL VS NON-NULL

$$\begin{split} J_{n^{2}\neq0}^{\mu(1)} &= \left( -\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}\gamma^{\mu}}{2pk_{2}} + \frac{p^{\mu}}{pk_{2}} \right) J_{n^{2}\neq0}^{(1)} + J_{c+d}^{\mu} \\ &+ 2\frac{k_{2}^{\mu}}{pk_{2}} + (2pk_{2})^{-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \right) \frac{k_{2}^{\prime}\gamma^{\mu}}{pk_{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{pn} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{nk_{2}}{pk_{2}}p^{\mu} - n^{\mu} \right) \log \left( \frac{n^{2} 2pk_{2}}{(2pn)^{2}} \right) - \frac{\#k_{2}^{\prime}}{pk_{2}}p^{\mu} + \#\gamma^{\mu} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(k_{2}) \end{split}$$
(30)

$$J_{n^{2}=0}^{\mu(1)} = \left(-\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}\gamma^{\mu}}{2pk_{2}} + \frac{p^{\mu}}{pk_{2}}\right) J_{n^{2}=0}^{(1)} + J_{c+d}^{\mu} + 2\frac{k_{2}^{\prime}}{pk_{2}} + (2pk_{2})^{-\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2\right) \frac{k_{2}^{\prime}\gamma^{\mu}}{pk_{2}} + \frac{1}{pn} \left[2\left(\frac{nk_{2}}{pk_{2}}p^{\mu} - n^{\mu}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 + \log\left(2pk_{2}\right)\right) - \frac{\#k_{2}^{\prime}}{pk_{2}}p^{\mu} + \#\gamma^{\mu}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(k_{2})$$
(31)

where

$$J_{c+d}^{\mu} = \frac{(2pk_2)^{-\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{k_2^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}}{pk_2} + \frac{k_2^{\mu}}{pk_2} \right) + \frac{5}{2} \frac{k_2^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu}}{pk_2} + \frac{k_2^{\mu}}{pk_2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(k_2)$$
(32)