Results from



There are two very different ways of making theoretical predictions: perturbative* calculations and event generators

* Can mean either fixed order or resummed

Perturbative calculations	Event generators
Can typically be performed with higher accuracy	Are fully differential, more similar to experimental data
Typically, observables have to be chosen before running code	Can just generate events, define observables later
Intrinsically, has only information on partonic final states	By attaching hadronization model, provides fully hadronized final state

There are two very different ways of making theoretical predictions: perturbative* calculations and event generators

* Can mean either fixed order or resummed

Goal of GENEVA is to generate fully hadronized events that have both higher fixed order and higher resummation accuracy. All results should have realistic event-by-event uncertainties.



Resummation crucial for restricted regions of phase space

The accuracy of GENEVA is at least as good as the competition in most cases.

	Powheg / MC@NLO	NNLOPS	Sherpa	UNLOPS	GENEVA
FO Z	NLO	NNLO	NLO	NNLO	NNLO
FO Zj	LO	NLO	NLO	NLO	NLO
FO Zjj	_	LO	NLO	LO	LO
Resummed Z	(N)LL	(N)LL	(N)LL	(N)LL	NNLL'
Uncertainties	only FO	only FO	only FO	only FO	FO and resummed
	0709.2092 1002.2581	1309.0017	1207.5030	1405.3607	

The physics in GENEVA

6/3/15, 10:59 AM

The physics in GENEVA

The main spirit of GENEVA is to calculate physical jet crosssections

Partonic cross-sections are ill-defined beyond LO in standard perturbation theory

This problem is well known, and always measure and calculate jet cross-sections

Don't count number of partons, count number of jets

Do calculations for jet cross-sections, and use shower to fill out jet

In contrast to most other Monte-Carlo generators, Geneva calculates physical jet cross-sections

To obtain logarithmic resummation requires a fully factorizable jet definition

A very convenient jet definition is called n-jettiness

$$\mathcal{T}_{N} = 2\sum_{k} \min\{\hat{q}_{1} \cdot p_{k}, \hat{q}_{2} \cdot p_{k}, \cdots, \hat{q}_{N} \cdot p_{k}\}$$
 1004.2489

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{T}_N \to 0 & : \text{ N pencil-like jets} \\ \mathcal{T}_N \to Q & : \text{ more than N jets} \\ \mathcal{T}_N < \mathcal{T}_{\text{cut}} & : \text{ Veto > N jets} \\ & \text{ Note that } \mathsf{T}_2 = \mathsf{T} = \mathsf{1}\text{-}\mathsf{T} \end{array}$$

Factorization theorem can be proven to all orders

Systematic method to resum logarithms at arbitrary order

This allows us to separate the total hadronic event into different jet multiplicities

Calculate each jet cross section to desired fixed and resummed accuracy, and use shower to fill out jets with radiation

Use SCET to determine the expressions for the differential jet cross-sections with resummed and fixed accuracy

Use the full power of SCET to obtain exclusive jet distributions that are correct to given fixed order and resummation accuracy

Fixed Order	Fixed order	Fixed order	0-jet	1-jet
Z+0	Z+1	Z+2	resolution	resolution
NNLO	NLO	LO	NNLL'	LL

No other generator on the market with this level of accuracy

In the following slides, I will show you some of the details of our formalism

If you just care about the results you can

While the equations for the jet cross-sections are a little lengthy, the physics is quite easy to understand For general NNLO matching, see 1311.0286

The jet cross-sections are written as

Any observable can be calculated from them

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X) &= \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_0 \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} (\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \, M_X(\Phi_0) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_1^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1} (\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}; \mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}) \, M_X(\Phi_1) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_2 \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_2} (\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}, \mathcal{T}_1 > \mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}) \, M_X(\Phi_2) \end{aligned}$$

We will first focus on the 0-jet cross-section and the inclusive 1-jet cross-section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) , \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

with the inclusive 1-jet rate defined as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}; \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}})
+ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}, \mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

We take the resummed result at NNLL' and match it to a fixed order result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \,, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \end{aligned}$$

The matching is given by a standard result

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{0}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{0}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) - \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{0}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{0}}(\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})\right]_{\mathrm{NNLO}}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NLO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}} - \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{0}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}\right]_{\mathrm{NLO}}\mathcal{P}(\Phi_{1})\right\} \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \,, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the NNLL' resummation includes 2-loop singular terms, actual NNLO terms power suppressed

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) - \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}})\right]_{\mathrm{NNLO}} \to \left[\alpha_s f_1(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}},\Phi_0) + \alpha_s^2 f_2(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}},\Phi_0)\right] \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}$$

This is same idea that is now being used in the Njettiness subtraction

See next talk

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \,, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) = & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{NNLL'}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have exclusive 0-jet and inclusive 1-jet, and we split up the inclusive 1-jet into an exclusive 1-jet and inclusive 2-jet result

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}; \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}; \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}; \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}, \mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) \theta(\mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}, \mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}})$$

Use SCET to determine the expressions for the differential jet cross-sections with resummed and fixed accuracy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{C}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}\theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}) + \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{C}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})\right]_{\mathrm{NLO}_{1}} - \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 1}^{\mathrm{resum}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}}\theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}})\right]_{\mathrm{NLO}_{1}}$$

Non-singular

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_1^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_2 \left[\frac{B_2(\Phi_2)}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_1^{\mathcal{T}}} \,\theta(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \theta(\mathcal{T}_1 < \mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}) - \frac{C_2(\Phi_2)}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\Phi}_1} \theta(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{\mathrm{cut}}) \right] \\ - B_1(\Phi_1) \, U_1^{(1)}(\Phi_1, \mathcal{T}_1^{\mathrm{cut}}) \,,$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\geq 2}^{\mathrm{nons}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}} (\mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) = \left\{ B_{2}(\Phi_{2}) \left[1 - \Theta^{\mathcal{T}}(\Phi_{2}) \theta(\mathcal{T}_{1} < \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) \right] - B_{1}(\Phi_{1}^{\mathcal{T}}) U_{1}^{(1)\prime}(\Phi_{1}^{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{T}_{1}) \mathcal{P}(\Phi_{2}) \theta(\mathcal{T}_{1} > \mathcal{T}_{1}^{\mathrm{cut}}) \right\} \theta(\mathcal{T}_{0} > \mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathrm{cut}}),$

When showering these events, we need to make sure that we don't violate the accuracy we have obtained

The parton shower should fill the jets with radiation, but that means it needs to know about our definition of jets

Here is a table with all info that went into our jet definition

	Φ_0	Φ_1	Φ_2	Φ_N
$\left \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_0^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_0} \right $	All	$\theta_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_1)$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi_0; \Phi_1)$	$ heta_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_2)$	$ heta_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_N)$
$\left[rac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_1^{\mathrm{MC}}}{\mathrm{d} \Phi_1} ight]$	_	$\overline{ heta}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_1) \operatorname{or} \overline{ heta}_{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi_1)$	$\overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_2)$ and $\theta_{\mathcal{T}_1}(\Phi_2)$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi_1;\Phi_2)$	$\overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_N) \text{ and } \theta_{\mathcal{T}_1}(\Phi_N)$
$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{MC}}_{\geq 2}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{2}}\right]$			$\overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_2) \text{ and } \left[\overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_1}(\Phi_2) \text{ or } \overline{\theta}_{\mathrm{map}}(\Phi_2)\right]$	$\overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(\Phi_N) \text{ and } \overline{\theta}_{\mathcal{T}_1}(\Phi_N)$

Important point is that up to Φ_2 , fixed order calculation demands carefully defined jets. Beyond that accuracy, only knows about values of jet resolution variable

When showering these events, we need to make sure that we don't violate the accuracy we have obtained

Important point is that up to Φ_2 , fixed order calculation demands carefully defined jets. Beyond that accuracy, only knows about values of jet resolution variable

This is not an issue for showering 0-jet events, where Pythia is respecting our definition well.

But for 1-jet events we perform the first emission analytically, and only then hand the event to Pythia

Only constraint we put on Pythia is that T_N < T_N^{cut} (since we don't have shower with evolution variable T_N)

In summary, Geneva implements the following results for the fully differential jet cross-sections

exclusive 0-jet:
$$\frac{d\sigma_0^{MC}}{d\Phi_0}(\mathcal{T}_0^{cut})$$
@ NNLO / NNLL'exclusive 1-jet: $\frac{d\sigma_1^{MC}}{d\Phi_1}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{cut}; \mathcal{T}_1^{cut})$ @ NLO / NNLL' / LLinclusive 2-jet: $\frac{d\sigma_{\geq 2}^{MC}}{d\Phi_2}(\mathcal{T}_0 > \mathcal{T}_0^{cut}, \mathcal{T}_1 > \mathcal{T}_1^{cut})$ @ LO / NNLL' / LL

Results

Let me begin by showing comparisons to perturbative calculations

Fully inclusive Z boson spectra agree with NNLO fixed order calculation

Fully inclusive Z boson spectra agree with NNLO fixed order calculation

Fully inclusive Z boson spectra agree with NNLO fixed order calculation

Now let me compare to data from ATLAS and CMS

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 032002 (2012)

Measurement of the rapidity and transverse momentum distributions of Z bosons in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

S. Chatrchyan *et al.** (CMS Collaboration) (Received 23 October 2011; published 7 February 2012)

Measurements of the normalized rapidity (y) and transverse-momentum (q_T) distributions of Drell–Yan muon and electron pairs in the Z-boson mass region ($60 < M_{\ell\ell} < 120$ GeV) are reported. The results are obtained using a data sample of proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV, collected by the CMS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36 pb⁻¹. The distributions are measured over the ranges |y| < 3.5 and $q_T < 600$ GeV and compared with quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations using recent parton distribution functions to model the momenta of the quarks and gluons in the protons. Overall agreement is observed between the models and data for the rapidity distribution, while no single model describes the Z transverse-momentum distribution over the full range.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.032002

PACS numbers: 13.60.Hb, 13.38.Dg, 13.85.Qk

Measurement of the production cross section of jets in association with a Z boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \,\text{TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: Measurements of the production of jets of particles in association with a Z boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV are presented, using data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.6 fb⁻¹ collected by the ATLAS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. Inclusive and differential jet cross sections in Z events, with Z decaying into electron or muon pairs, are measured for jets with transverse momentum $p_{\rm T} > 30$ GeV and rapidity |y| < 4.4. The results are compared to next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD calculations, and to predictions from different Monte Carlo generators based on leading-order and next-to-leading-order matrix elements supplemented by parton showers.

KEYWORDS: Hadron-Hadron Scattering

Measurement of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum distribution in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: This paper describes a measurement of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum spectrum using ATLAS proton-proton collision data at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ at the LHC. The measurement is performed in the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ channels, using data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 4.7 fb^{-1} . Normalized differential cross sections as a function of the Z/γ^* boson transverse momentum are measured for transverse momenta up to 800 GeV. The measurement is performed inclusively for Z/γ^* rapidities up to 2.4, as well as in three rapidity bins. The channel results are combined, compared to perturbative and resummed QCD calculations and used to constrain the parton shower parameters of Monte Carlo generators.

KEYWORDS: Hadron-Hadron Scattering

ArXiv ePrint: 1406.3660

In conclusion, GENEVA is a fully exclusive event generator with the best available perturbative accuracy

Very good agreement with dedicated perturbative calculations

The method is easily extendable to processes other than Z + jets

QUESTIONS?